



A Separator Theorem for Nonplanar Graphs

Author(s): Noga Alon, Paul Seymour, Robin Thomas

Source: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 3, No. 4 (Oct., 1990), pp. 801-808

Published by: American Mathematical Society

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1990903>

Accessed: 09/03/2010 08:21

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

A SEPARATOR THEOREM FOR NONPLANAR GRAPHS

NOGA ALON, PAUL SEYMOUR, AND ROBIN THOMAS

1. INTRODUCTION

A *separation* of a graph G is a pair (A, B) of subsets of $V(G)$ with $A \cup B = V(G)$, such that no edge of G joins a vertex in $A - B$ to a vertex in $B - A$. Its *order* is $|A \cap B|$. A well-known theorem of Lipton and Tarjan [2] asserts the following. (\mathbf{R}^+ denotes the set of nonnegative real numbers. If $w: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is a function and $X \subseteq V(G)$, we denote $\sum(w(v): v \in X)$ by $w(X)$.)

(1.1) *Let G be a planar graph with n vertices, and let $w: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be a function. Then there is a separation (A, B) of G of order $\leq 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n}$, such that $w(A - B), w(B - A) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(V(G))$.*

Our object is to prove an extension of (1.1) for nonplanar graphs with a fixed excluded “minor.” A graph H is a *minor* of a graph G if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting edges. By an H -*minor* of G we mean a minor of G isomorphic to H . Thus, the Kuratowski–Wagner theorem asserts that planar graphs are those without K_5 - or $K_{3,3}$ -minors. We prove the following:

(1.2) *Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer, let G be a graph with n vertices and with no K_h -minor, and let $w: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be a function. Then there is a separation (A, B) of G of order $\leq h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ such that $w(A - B), w(B - A) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(V(G))$.*

Our thanks to N. Linial, who pointed out several years ago to the second author that a result like (1.2) was probably true. We think that the expression $h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ in (1.2) is not the best possible, and that $O(hn^{1/2})$ is the correct answer, but have not been able to decide this. If true, this would generalize a result of Gilbert, Hutchinson, and Tarjan [1] that every graph with n vertices and genus g has a “separator” of order $\leq O(g^{1/2}n^{1/2})$, because K_h has genus $\geq \Omega(h^2)$. Every 3-regular expander with n vertices is a graph with no K_h -minor for $h = cn^{1/2}$, and with no separator of size dn , for appropriately chosen positive constants c and d ; and hence the estimate $O(hn^{1/2})$ would be the best possible.

Received by the editors December 11, 1989 and, in revised form, March 1990; the contents of this paper were presented at the 22nd STOC conference, Baltimore, Maryland, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 05C40.

The first author’s research was carried out under a consulting agreement with Bellcore.

We observe also that since K_h contains an H -minor for every simple graph H with h vertices, (1.2) is equivalent to the following.

(1.3) *Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer, let G be a graph with n vertices and with no H -minor, where H is an arbitrary simple graph with h vertices, and let $w: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be a function. Then there is a separation (A, B) of G of order $\leq h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ such that $w(A - B), w(B - A) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(V(G))$.*

If G is a graph and $X \subseteq V(G)$, an X -flap is the vertex set of some component of $G \setminus X$ (the graph obtained from G by deleting X). Let $w: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be a function. If $X \subseteq V(G)$ is such that $w(F) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(V(G))$ for every X -flap F then it is easy to find a separation (A, B) with $A \cap B = X$ such that $w(A - B), w(B - A) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(V(G))$. (If $w(F) \geq \frac{1}{3}w(V(G))$ for some X -flap F , take the separation $(F \cup X, V(G) - F)$. If not, let the X -flaps be F_1, \dots, F_k and choose j with $1 \leq j \leq k$ maximal such that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} w(F_i) \leq \frac{2}{3}w(V(G))$; and take the separation $(H \cup X, V(G) - H)$, where $H = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq j} F_i$.) Thus, (1.2) is implied by the following:

(1.4) *Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer, let G be a graph with n vertices and with no K_h -minor, and let $w: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be a function. Then there exists $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \leq h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ such that $w(F) \leq \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$ for every X -flap F .*

Lipton and Tarjan [2] gave an algorithm to find a separation (A, B) as in (1.1) in linear time. We have not been able to do as well, but we shall show the following:

(1.5) *There is an algorithm with running time $O(h^{1/2}n^{1/2}m)$, which takes as input an integer $h \geq 1$, a graph G (where $n = |V(G)|$ and $m = |V(G)| + |E(G)|$), and a function $w: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$. It outputs either*

- (a) *a K_h -minor of G , or*
- (b) *a subset $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \leq h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ such that $w(F) \leq \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$ for every X -flap F .*

Several algorithmic applications of this result appear in [4].

By a haven of order k in G we mean a function β which assigns to each subset $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \leq k$ an X -flap $\beta(X)$, in such a way that if $X \subseteq Y$ and $|Y| \leq k$ then $\beta(Y) \subseteq \beta(X)$. Now if (1.4) is false, then for each $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \leq h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ there is a unique X -flap, say $\beta(X)$, with $w(\beta(X)) > \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$; and β thus defined is evidently a haven of order $h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$. Thus (1.4) is implied by the following:

(1.6) *Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer and let G be a graph with n vertices with a haven of order $h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$. Then G has a K_h -minor.*

While (1.6) is more compact and more general than (1.4), it seems difficult to formulate a corresponding generalization of (1.5). We shall content ourselves, therefore, with proving (1.5) and (1.6) separately.

Let us mention an application of (1.6). A *tree-decomposition* of a graph G is a pair (T, W) , where T is a tree and $W = (W_t; t \in V(T))$ is a family of subsets of $V(G)$, such that

- (i) $\bigcup(W_t; t \in V(T)) = V(G)$, and for every $e \in E(G)$ there exists $t \in V(T)$ such that W_t contains both ends of e ;
- (ii) if $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in V(T)$ and t_2 lies on the path between t_1 and t_3 then $W_{t_1} \cap W_{t_3} \subseteq W_{t_2}$.

The *tree-width* of G is the minimum k such that there is a tree-decomposition (T, W) of T satisfying $|W_t| \leq k+1$ for all $t \in V(T)$. The following is proved in [3]:

(1.7) *If $k \geq 0$ is an integer then G has a haven of order $\geq k$ if and only if the tree-width of G is at least k .*

From (1.6) and (1.7) we deduce

(1.8) *Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer and let G be a graph with n vertices and with tree-width at least $h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$. Then G has a K_h -minor.*

2. FINDING SMALL CONNECTING TREES

We shall need the following lemma:

(2.1) *Let G be a graph with n vertices, let $A_1, \dots, A_k \subseteq V(G)$, and let $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$ with $r \geq 1$. Then either*

- (i) *there is a tree T in G with $|V(T)| \leq r$ such that $V(T) \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, or*
- (ii) *there exists $Z \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z| \leq (k-1)n/r$, such that no Z -flap intersects all of A_1, \dots, A_k .*

Proof. We may assume that $k \geq 2$. Let G^1, \dots, G^{k-1} be isomorphic copies of G , mutually disjoint. For each $v \in V(G)$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let v^i be the corresponding vertex of G^i . Let J be the graph obtained from $G^1 \cup \dots \cup G^{k-1}$ by adding, for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$ and all $v \in A_i$, an edge joining v^{i-1} and v^i . Let $X = \{v^1; v \in A_1\}$ and $Y = \{v^{k-1}; v \in A_k\}$. For each $u \in V(J)$, let $d(u)$ be the number of vertices in the shortest path of J between X and u (or ∞ if there is no such path). There are two cases:

Case 1. $d(u) \leq r$ for some $u \in Y$.

Let P be a path of J between X and Y with $\leq r$ vertices. Let

$$S = \{v \in V(G); v^i \in V(P) \text{ for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}.$$

Then $|S| \leq |V(P)| \leq r$, the subgraph of G induced on S is connected, and $|S \cap A_i| \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus (i) holds.

Case 2. $d(u) > r$ for all $u \in Y$.

Let t be the least integer with $t \geq r$. For $1 \leq j \leq t$, let $Z_j = \{u \in V(J) : d(u) = j\}$. Since $|V(J)| = (k - 1)n$ and Z_1, \dots, Z_t are mutually disjoint, one of them, say Z_j , has cardinality $\leq (k - 1)n/t \leq (k - 1)n/r$. Now every path of J between X and Y has a vertex in Z_j , because $d(u) \geq j$ for all $u \in Y$. Let

$$Z = \{v \in V(G) : v^i \in Z_j \text{ for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1\}.$$

Then $|Z| \leq |Z_j| \leq (k - 1)n/r$, and we claim that Z satisfies (ii). Suppose that F is a Z -flap of G which intersects all of A_1, \dots, A_k . Let $a_i \in F \cap A_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$), and for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ let P_i be a path of G with $V(P_i) \subseteq F$ and with ends a_i, a_{i+1} . Let P^i be the path of G^i corresponding to P_i . Then $V(P^1) \cup \dots \cup V(P^{k-1})$ includes the vertex set of a path of J between X and Y , and yet is disjoint from Z_j , a contradiction. Thus, there is no such F , and so (ii) holds. \square

We observe that the proof of (2.1) is easily converted to an algorithm with running time $O(km)$, which, with input G, r , and A_1, \dots, A_k as in (2.1) (where $m = |V(G)| + |E(G)|$), computes either a tree T as in (i) or a set Z as in (ii).

It would be desirable to replace the expression $(k - 1)n/r$ in (ii) by some $f(k)n/r$, where $f(k) = o(k)$, because there would be a corresponding improvement in the expression $h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ of (1.2). We do not know if this is possible, but we suspect not. Indeed, let G be the ‘‘cube’’ with vertex set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \in \{0, 1\}\},$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_d) and (x'_1, \dots, x'_d) are adjacent if $|x_1 - x'_1| + \dots + |x_d - x'_d| = 1$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let

$$A_i = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_i = 0\}, \quad A_{d+i} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : x_i = 1\},$$

and let $k = 2d$. Then certainly every tree in G which meets all of A_1, \dots, A_k has at least $d + 1$ vertices, and yet we suspect that, for any $Z \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z| < 2^{d-1}$, some Z -flap intersects all of A_1, \dots, A_k . If so, this would show that (2.1) is best possible up to a constant factor.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

First we prove (1.6), and then adapt the proof to yield an algorithm for (1.5). Let G be a graph. By a *covey* in G we mean a set \mathcal{C} of (nonnull) trees of G , mutually vertex-disjoint, such that for all distinct $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ there is an edge of G with one end in $V(C_1)$ and the other in $V(C_2)$. Thus, if G has a covey of cardinality h then it has a K_h -minor.

Proof of (1.6). Let β be a haven in G of order $h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$. Choose $X \subseteq V(G)$ and a covey \mathcal{C} with $|\mathcal{C}| \leq h$ such that

- (i) $X \subseteq \bigcup(V(C) : C \in \mathcal{C})$,
- (ii) $|X \cap V(C)| \leq h^{1/2}n^{1/2}$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$,

- (iii) $V(C) \cap \beta(X) = \emptyset$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and
- (iv) subject to (i), (ii), and (iii), $|\mathcal{C}| + |X| + 3|\beta(X)|$ is minimum.

(This is certainly possible; setting $\mathcal{C} = X = \emptyset$ satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii).) Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$. We suppose for a contradiction that $k < h$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let A_i be the set of all $v \in \beta(X)$ adjacent in G to a vertex of C_i . Let G' be the restriction of G to $\beta(X)$. By (2.1) applied to G' with $r = h^{1/2}n^{1/2}$, one of the following cases holds:

Case 1. There is a tree T of G' with $|V(T)| \leq h^{1/2}n^{1/2}$, such that $V(T) \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \cup \{T\}$ and $X' = X \cup V(T)$; then \mathcal{C}' is a covey and for each $C \in \mathcal{C}'$,

$$V(C) \cap \beta(X') \subseteq V(C) \cap (\beta(X) - V(T)) = \emptyset.$$

This contradicts (iv).

Case 2. There exists $Z \subseteq \beta(X)$ with $|Z| \leq (k - 1)|\beta(X)|/h^{1/2}n^{1/2} \leq h^{1/2}n^{1/2}$ such that no Z -flap of G' intersects all of A_1, \dots, A_k . Let $Y = X \cup Z$. Since $k \leq h - 1$, it follows that $|Y| \leq h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$, and so $\beta(Y)$ exists and $\beta(Y) \subseteq \beta(X)$. Since $\beta(Y)$ is a Z -flap of G' there exists i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $\beta(Y) \cap A_i = \emptyset$. Extend C_i to a maximal tree C'_i of G disjoint from $\beta(Y)$ and from each C_j ($j \neq i$). Let $Z' = V(C'_i) \cap Z$, let $X' = Z' \cup (X - V(C_i))$, and let $W = V(C'_i) \cup (V(G) - \beta(X))$.

We claim that $\beta(X') \cap W = \emptyset$. For suppose not. Since $\beta(Y) \subseteq \beta(X')$, there is a path of G between W and $\beta(Y)$ contained within $\beta(X')$ and hence disjoint from X' . Since $W \cap \beta(Y) = \emptyset$, there are two consecutive vertices u, v of this path with $u \in W$ and $v \in V(G) - W \subseteq \beta(X)$. Since u, v are adjacent it follows that $u \in X \cup \beta(X)$, and so

$$u \in (X \cup \beta(X)) \cap (W - X') \subseteq V(C'_i).$$

Since $v \notin W$ it follows from the maximality of C'_i that $v \in \beta(Y)$. Since $u \notin \beta(Y)$ we deduce that $u \in Y$, and so

$$u \in Y \cap (V(C'_i) - X') \subseteq V(C_i).$$

But then $v \in A_i$, which is impossible since $A_i \cap \beta(Y) = \emptyset$. This proves our claim that $\beta(X') \cap W = \emptyset$. Hence, $\beta(X') \subseteq \beta(X)$. Let $\mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{C} - \{C_i\}) \cup \{C'_i\}$; then \mathcal{C}' is a covey. We observe that

- (i) $X' \subseteq \bigcup \{V(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}'\}$; for $Z' \subseteq V(C'_i)$,
- (ii) $|X' \cap V(C)| \leq h^{1/2}n^{1/2}$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}'$; for if $C \neq C'_i$ then $X' \cap V(C) = X \cap V(C)$, and $X' \cap V(C'_i) = Z'$, and
- (iii) $V(C) \cap \beta(X') = \emptyset$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}'$; for $\beta(X') \cap W = \emptyset$, as we have seen.

By (iv),

$$|\mathcal{E}'| + |X'| + 3|\beta(X')| \geq |\mathcal{E}| + |X| + 3|\beta(X)|.$$

But $|\mathcal{E}'| = |\mathcal{E}|$ and $X' \cup \beta(X') \subseteq (X \cup \beta(X)) - (X \cap V(C_i))$, and so $X \cap V(C_i) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{E} - \{C_i\}$, X satisfy (i), (ii), and (iii), contrary to (iv).

In both cases, therefore, we have obtained a contradiction. Thus our assumption that $k < h$ was incorrect, and so $k = h$ and G has a K_h -minor, as required. \square

Now let us convert the proof of (1.6) to an algorithm for (1.5). The main difference will be that we shall keep the sets $X \cap V(C)$ as large as possible, to improve the running time. Let h, G, w be the input, and let $r = \lfloor h^{1/2} n^{1/2} \rfloor$. Set $X_0 = \mathcal{E}_0 = \emptyset$ and $B_0 = V(G)$, and begin the first iteration. In general, at the beginning of the t th iteration, we have a subset $X_{t-1} \subseteq V(G)$, a covey \mathcal{E}_{t-1} with $|\mathcal{E}_{t-1}| \leq h$, and a subset $B_{t-1} \subseteq V(G)$ which is a union of X_{t-1} -flaps, such that

- (i) $X_{t-1} \subseteq \bigcup \{V(C) : C \in \mathcal{E}_{t-1}\}$,
- (ii) $|X_{t-1} \cap V(C)| = r$ for each $C \in \mathcal{E}_{t-1}$,
- (iii) $V(C) \cap B_{t-1} = \emptyset$ for each $C \in \mathcal{E}_{t-1}$,
- (iv) $w(F) \leq \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$ for each X_{t-1} -flap F with $F \not\subseteq B_{t-1}$.

(1) Let $|\mathcal{E}_{t-1}| = k$. If $k = h$ we have found a K_h -minor; we output (a) and stop. Otherwise we go to step (2).

(2) We compute all the X_{t-1} -flaps included in B_{t-1} . If $w(F) \leq \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$ for every such X_{t-1} -flap F , we output (b) (with $X = X_{t-1}$) and stop. Otherwise, let F be the unique X_{t-1} -flap with $w(F) > \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$. If $|F| < h^{1/2} n^{1/2}$ we output (b) (with $X = X_{t-1} \cup F$) and stop. Otherwise we go to step (3).

(3) Let $\mathcal{E}_{t-1} = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let A_i be the set of all $v \in F$ with a neighbor in $V(C_i)$. If $A_i = \emptyset$ for some i , we set $X_t = X_{t-1} - V(C_i)$, $\mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}_{t-1} - \{C_i\}$, $B_t = F$, and return to step (1) for the next iteration. Otherwise we go to step (4).

(4) Let G' be the restriction of G to F . We apply (2.1) to G' and A_1, \dots, A_k . We obtain either:

- (i) a tree T of G' with $|V(T)| \leq r$ such that $V(T) \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ for each i ,
or
- (ii) a subset $Z \subseteq V(G')$ with $|Z| \leq (k - 1)n/r \leq r$ such that no Z -flap of G' intersects all of A_1, \dots, A_k .

In the first case we go to step (5), and in the second to step (6).

(5) Given T as in (4)(i), we enlarge T to a tree T' of G' with $|V(T')| = r$ (this is possible since $|F| \geq r$). We set $X_t = X_{t-1} \cup V(T')$, $\mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}_{t-1} \cup \{T'\}$, $B_t = F - V(T')$, and return to step (1) for the next iteration.

(6) Given Z as in (4)(ii), let $Y = X_{t-1} \cup Z$. If $w(D) \leq \frac{1}{2}w(V(D))$ for every Y -flap D of G , we output (b) (with $X = Y$) and stop. Otherwise, let D be the unique Y -flap with $w(D) > \frac{1}{2}w(V(D))$. Now D is a Z -flap of G' ,

and so we may choose i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $D \cap A_i = \emptyset$. Extend C_i to a maximal tree C'_i of G disjoint from D and from each C_j ($j \neq i$). Since $A_i \cap \beta(Y) = \emptyset$, it follows that $A_i \subseteq V(C'_i)$. Let

$$X' = (V(C'_i) \cap Z) \cup (X_{t-1} - V(C_i));$$

then, as in the proof of (1.6), it follows that

$$F' \cap \left(V(C'_i) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i} V(C_j) \right) = \emptyset$$

for any X' -flap F' of G with $w(F') > \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$. Extend C'_i to a maximal tree T of G disjoint from each C_j ($j \neq i$) and with $|V(T) \cap (Z \cup D)| \leq r$. Since $\emptyset \neq A_i \subseteq V(C'_i)$ and $|F| \geq r$, it follows that $|V(T) \cap F| \geq r$, and so we may choose Z' with $|Z'| = r$ such that

$$V(T) \cap (Z \cup D) \subseteq Z' \subseteq V(T) \cap F.$$

We set $X_t = (X_{t-1} - V(C_i)) \cup Z'$, $\mathcal{C}_t = (\mathcal{C}_{t-1} - \{C_i\}) \cup \{T\}$, $B_t = D - Z'$, and return to (1) for the next iteration. (We observe that any X_t -flap F' of G with $w(F') > \frac{1}{2}w(V(G))$ is a subset of an X' -flap with the same property, since $X' \subseteq X_t$, and hence is disjoint from each member of \mathcal{C}_t and is a subset of B_t .)

This completes the description of the algorithm (apart from the simple data structures used, which we omit) and the proof of correctness. To analyze the running time, we observe that the most time-consuming part of each iteration is step (4), which takes time $\leq O(hm)$. Since $|X_0| + 2|B_0| = 2n$ and in each iteration the quantity $|X_t| + 2|B_t|$ is reduced by at least r , there are at most $2n/r \leq O(h^{-1/2}n^{1/2})$ iterations, and so the total running time is at most $O(h^{1/2}n^{1/2}m)$, as claimed.

It may be that by using more sophisticated, dynamic data structures, the algorithm can be implemented more efficiently, but at the moment we do not see how to do so.

REFERENCES

1. J. R. Gilbert, J. P. Hutchinson, and R. E. Tarjan, *A separation theorem for graphs of bounded genus*, J. Algorithms 5 (1984), 391-407.
2. R. J. Lipton and R. E. Tarjan, *A separator theorem for planar graphs*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 36 (1979), 177-189.
3. P. D. Seymour and R. Thomas, *Graph searching, and a minimax theorem for tree-width*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B (to appear).
4. N. Alon, P. D. Seymour, and R. Thomas, *A separator theorem for graphs with an excluded minor and its applications* (Proc. 22nd STOC, Baltimore, Maryland, 1990), ACM Press, 293-299.

ABSTRACT. Let G be an n -vertex graph with no minor isomorphic to an h -vertex complete graph. We prove that the vertices of G can be partitioned into three sets A, B, C such that no edge joins a vertex in A with a vertex in B , neither A nor B contains more than $2n/3$ vertices, and C contains no more than $h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$ vertices. This extends a theorem of Lipton and Tarjan for planar graphs. We exhibit an algorithm which finds such a partition (A, B, C) in time $O(h^{1/2}n^{1/2}m)$, where $m = |V(G)| + |E(G)|$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SACKLER FACULTY OF EXACT SCIENCE, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY,
RAMAT AVIV, TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL

BELLCORE, 445 SOUTH STREET, MORRISTOWN, NEW JERSEY 07960

DIMACS CENTER, HILL CENTER, BUSCH CAMPUS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK,
NEW JERSEY 08903

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332